

**REMARKS**

Claims 2-7 in the parent application U.S. Serial No. 09/837,035 are canceled and claims 5-11 are presented here again for examination along with claim 1 (currently amended) containing the recitations of claim 1 as allowed in parent application. Claims 5-11 should be allowable along with the allowable claim 1. *In re Fine*, 837 F. 2d 1071, 5 U.S.P.Q. 2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988). New claims 21-27, also containing the recitations of claim 1 as allowed in the parent application should also be allowable. New claim 28, reciting claim 1 as allowed in the parent application, in method form, should also be allowed. New claim 29 containing the recitations of claim 28, should also be allowable. The claims have also been amended to conform to amendments made in the parent for definitions purposes, without any changes in the substance or scope of the claims.


New claims 29-31 containing the recitations of claims 5-7 in the parent and depending upon Claim 1 should be allowable.

Applicants have filed a terminal disclaimer over the parent application, issuing in U.S. Patent No. 6,618,421 on September 9, 2003 to deal with obviousness double patenting over Claim 1 as issuing in 6,618,421.

Conclusion

For the above stated reasons claims 1, 8-11 and 21-31 should be allowable and the Examiner is respectfully requested to allow claims 1, 8-11 and 21-31.

Respectfully submitted,



William Cray, Reg. No. 27,627

Cymer, Inc.  
Legal Department  
17570 Thornmint Court  
San Diego, California 92127  
Telephone: (858) 385-7185

August 27, 2003

IN THE DRAWINGS:

Add the phrase "Prior Art" to FIG. 4 as shown marked on the attached copy of FIG. 4.